

Report Identification Number: NY-16-026 Prepared by: New York City Regional Office

Issue Date: 9/19/2016

This	s report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns:
X	A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
	The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
	The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
	The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 1 of 11



Abbreviations

Relationships						
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling					

Contacts						
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPR-Cardio-pulmonary Resuscitation						
	Allegations					
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Others					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police				
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive Rehabilitative Services	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy Families	FC-Foster Care				
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room					

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 2 of 11



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** New York **Date of Death:** 03/19/2016

Age: 2 month(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 03/19/2016

Presenting Information

On 3/19/16, the SCR registered a report regarding the death of this two-month-old male SC. The report alleged that at approximately 1:30 A.M. on 3/19/16, the SC was put to bed by the BF. When the BF woke up to check the SC, he was not breathing. The BF awoke the BM and they called 911. At 8:10 A.M., the SC arrived at the hospital unresponsive, not breathing and in cardiac arrest. At 8:33 A.M., hospital staff pronounced the SC dead. The SC was an otherwise healthy baby at birth and did not have any preexisting medical condition; making his death suspicious.

Executive Summary

This two-month-old SC died on 3/19/16 while in the care of his biological parents (BP). According to ACS' investigation, at approximately 1:30 A.M., the BF placed the SC to sleep on his right side in a bassinet. The BF observed the SC to be alive at the time. At approximately 7:30 A.M., the BF checked on the SC and found him unresponsive. The BF called out to the BM and immediately called 911. The BF began CPR on the SC as instructed over the phone by the 911 operator. EMS arrived at the home within minutes and continued CPR on the SC and throughout transport to the hospital. The SC arrived at the hospital in cardiac arrest and hospital staff continued resuscitation efforts on the SC until 8:33 A.M., when medical staff pronounced him dead. According to the ME, the SC's cause of death and manner of death were undetermined.

ACS initiated the investigation within the mandated time frame. The ACS' Specialist obtained information from the hospital and NYPD personnel which deemed the SC's death was not suspicious. The accounts of the SC's death provided by the BPs and relevant information obtained from collateral sources were consistent throughout the investigation. In addition, during the investigation, ACS and the NYPD assessed the surviving children to be safe in the care of the BPs. The SC had two surviving older brothers; ages two and nine-years-old respectively. Both children had special needs and they are engaged in services.

On 6/10/16, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of the report. ACS based its decision on the ME's report which stated the SC's cause of death and manner of death were undetermined. ACS closed the case and referred the family to community based services. The children's therapists confirmed that the children were engaged in services and their parents were in compliance with their treatment plans.

ACS exhibited good case documentation in the progress notes of this investigation. ACS also exhibited good casework practice by utilizing language services to engage given the family only spoke Spanish. At the time of the closing of the investigation, there were no child protective concerns regarding the surviving children.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 3 of 11



 Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:

Approved Initial Safety Assessment?

Yes

• Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

Yes

• Was the safety decision on the approved Initial Safety Assessment appropriate?

Yes

Determination:

• Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others identified in the course of the investigation?

Yes, sufficient information was gathered to determine all

allegations.

• Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

Yes

Explain:

The casework activities were commensurate with case circumstances.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

Yes

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory

or regulatory requirements?

Yes

Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

Yes, the case record has detail of

the consultation.

Explain:

The surviving children were doing well in the care of their parents. They were receiving services and there were no safety concerns for the children.

Required Actions Related to the Fatality

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Issue:	Timely/Adequate Seven Day Assessment
Summary:	ACS did not complete the Seven Day Assessment in timely manner. The Seven Day Assessment was due on 3/26/16; however, it was conducted and approved on 4/20/16.
Legal Reference:	SSL 424(3);18 NYCRR432.2(b)(3)(ii)(c)
Action:	The Administration for Children's Services (ACS) must submit a corrective action plan within 45 days that identifies what action it has, or will take, to address the citation(s) identified in the fatality report. ACS must meet with the staff involved with this fatality investigation and inform NYCRO of the date of the meeting, who attended and what was discussed.

Fatality-Related Information and Investigative Activities

Incident Information

Date of Death: 03/19/2016 Time of Death: 08:33 AM

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 4 of 11



County where fatality inci	dent occurred:	New York
Was 911 or local emergence	cy number called?	Yes
Time of Call:		08:00 AM
Did EMS to respond to the	e scene?	Yes
At time of incident leading	to death, had child used alcohol or	drugs? No
Child's activity at time of i	incident:	
☑ Sleeping	☐ Working	☐ Driving / Vehicle occupant
☐ Playing	☐ Eating	□ Unknown
☐ Other		
Did child have supervision	at time of incident leading to death	? Yes
Is the caretaker listed in tl 2	ne Household Composition? Yes - Ca	aregiver
At time of incident superv impaired.	isor was: Not	
Total number of deaths at	incident event:	

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	Alleged Victim	Male	2 Month(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Father	Alleged Perpetrator	Male	33 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	Alleged Perpetrator	Female	33 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	9 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	2 Year(s)

LDSS Response

On 3/19/16, the ACS Specialist initiated the investigation and contacted the hospital staff, the NYPD detective, EMS and the ME and they all reported there were no signs of trauma or external injury to the SC. The SC appeared well nourished and well cared for. He was seen by his Dr. on 03/18/16 and found to be well. The detective deemed the SC's death non-suspicious pending the autopsy results. The detective also visited the home and deemed the two older brothers safe.

The Specialist then visited the hospital to interview the BPs utilizing language services. The BM was too distraught to be interviewed; however, the BF provided an account of the events that led up to the SC's death which was consistent with the information that was already known.

Later that same date, the Specialist visited the case address to assess the two surviving siblings. The children, who both have special needs, were non-verbal however, they were active and deemed safe in the care of family members at the time.

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 5 of 11



ACS confirmed the two surviving children receive services that was arranged by their parents for their special needs.

On 3/20/16, the ME reported that there were no findings regarding the SC's death pending the autopsy results.

On 3/21/16, the Specialist visited the family. The family did not provide any new information regarding their son's death. The BM reported that on 3/18/16, the SC was seen by his Dr. for a well checkup and vaccinations. The Dr. did not report any health concerns for the SC. The BM denied the BF co-slept with the SC. She disclosed that the two surviving siblings were special needs children and were engaged in services. The Specialist observed the children to be safe in the home at the time of the visit.

On 4/25/16, 5/17/16 and 6/7/16, the Specialist made follow-up visits to the family to assess the surviving children and the family functioning. The Specialist deemed the children safe in the care of their BPs. The BM had enrolled in bereavement counseling services and BF stated he found comfort by attending his church.

On 6/1/16, the ME reported that the SC's cause of death and manner of death was undetermined

On 5/18/16 and 6/10/16, the Specialist contacted the family's therapists. The therapists did not report any concerns regarding the family.

On 6/10/16, ACS unsubstantiated the allegations of the report. ACS based its decision on the information obtained during the course of the CPS investigation. The ME stated that the SC did not have any trauma on his body and was assessed to be well nourished and well cared for. Also, the SC did not have any medical issues which caused his death. The ME deemed the child's cause and manner of death undetermined.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Undetermined

Primary Cause of Death: Undetermined if injury or medical cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Medical Examiner

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality investigation conducted by a Multidisciplinary Team (MDT)?No Comments: The investigation adhered to approved protocols for joint investigation.

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? No

SCR Fatality Report Summary

Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome
030241 - Deceased Child, Male, 2	030242 - Mother, Female, 33	Inadequate	Unsubstantiated
Mons	Year(s)	Guardianship	
030241 - Deceased Child, Male, 2	030243 - Father, Male, 33 Year(s)	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 6 of 11



Mons			
030241 - Deceased Child, Male, 2	030242 - Mother, Female, 33	DOA / Fatality	Unsubstantiated
Mons	Year(s)		
030241 - Deceased Child, Male, 2	030243 - Father, Male, 33 Year(s)	Inadequate	Unsubstantiated
Mons		Guardianship	

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?	×			
When appropriate, children were interviewed?	×			
Alleged subject(s) interviewed face-to-face?	×			
All 'other persons named' interviewed face-to-face?			×	
Contact with source?	×			
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?		×		
School		×		
Was a death-scene investigation performed?	×			
Was there discussion with all parties (youth, other household members, and staff) who were present that day (if nonverbal, observation and comments in case notes)?			×	
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	×			
Did the investigation adhere to established protocols for a joint investigation?	×			
Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?	×			

Fatality Safety Assessment Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate safety assessment of impending or immediate din the household named in the report:	langer to su	ırviving sib	lings/other	children
Within 24 hours?	\boxtimes			
At 7 days?		×		
At 30 days?	×			

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 7 of 11



Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?	X			
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?		×		
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?			X	
Explain: The seven day safety assessment was due on 3/26/16; however, it was cond	ducted and a	pproved on	4/20/16.	
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessm	nent Profile			
V				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?	X			
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?	X			
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?	×			
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?		X		
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case	X			
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatal	ity Investigat	ion		
				TT 11 4
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?		X		
Were there surviving siblings/other children in the household removed as a result of this fatality report/investigation?		X		
Explain as necessary: The surviving children were doing well in the care of their parents. There yellows the control of their parents.	were no safe	ty concerns	for the chil	dren.

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 8 of 11

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality



Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After Death	Offered, but Refused	Offered, Unknown if Used	Needed but not Offered	Needed but Unavaliable	N/A	CDR Lead to Referral
Bereavement counseling	X						
Economic support						\boxtimes	
Funeral arrangements						\boxtimes	
Housing assistance						×	
Mental health services						×	
Foster care						×	
Health care						×	
Legal services						\boxtimes	
Family planning						×	
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention						×	
Alcohol/Substance abuse						×	
Child Care						×	
Intensive case management						×	
Family or others as safety resources						×	
Other						×	

Additional information, if necessary:

ACS did not arrange the special needs services for the surviving children, these services were in place prior to ACS involvement with the family.

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? Yes

Explain:

The children were engaged in services and their parents were in compliance with their treatment plans.

History Prior to the Fatality



Child Information	
Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was there an open CPS case with this child at the time of death? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to the Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death?	No No No nis child's death? No No
Infants Under One Year Old	
During pregnancy, mother: ☐ Had medical complications / infections ☐ Misused over-the-counter or prescription drugs ☐ Experienced domestic violence ☑ Was not noted in the case record to have any of the issues listed	☐ Had heavy alcohol use ☐ Smoked tobacco ☐ Used illicit drugs
Infant was born: ☐ Drug exposed ☑ With neither of the issues listed noted in case record	☐ With fetal alcohol effects or syndrome
CPS - Investigative History Three Yea	ars Prior to the Fatality
There is no CPS investigative history within three years prior to the fatality.	
CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality	
There is no CPS investigative history more than three years prior to the	e fatality.
Required Action(s)	
Are there Required Actions related to compliance issues for provisions of CPS or Preventive services ? □Yes ⊠No	
Preventive Services History	

NY-16-026 FINAL Page 10 of 11

There is no record of Preventive Services History provided to the deceased child, the deceased child's siblings, and/or the

other children residing in the deceased child's household at the time of the fatality.



Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the Fatality Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity Recommended Action(s) Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy changes? □Yes ☒No Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review? □Yes ☒No